WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Kelly Lee Hudson				Case Number:	13-04263M-001-PCT-MEA	
	ordance v ablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts	
	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.				
	by a pr	•	rance of the evidence the defenda	nt is a flight risk and require	the detention of the defendant pending trial in	
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that t	he defendant has committe	d	
			a drug offense for which a maxir §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46	num term of imprisonment of U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq	of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C.	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9	924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2 imprisonment of ten years or mo	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin ore is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
			an offense involving a minor victi	im prescribed in	1	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the				inding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alt	ernative Findings		
	(1)		There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assu the appearance of the defendant as required.			
\boxtimes	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the common conditions will reasonable the conditions will be a safety of the conditions will be a saf		safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
			PART II WRITTEN STAT	TEMENT OF REASONS FO one or both, as applicable.)	PR DETENTION	
	(1)	as to d	nat the credible testimony and informations and informati		ing establish by clear and convincing evidence use history.	
		-				

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1)(abusive sexual contact. § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity) offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 3:13-cr-08208-DKD Document 7 Filed 08/16/13 Page 2 of 2

\boxtimes	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
	\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.	
	×	The defendant has insufficient resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	×	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of any incarceration and a maximum of 3 years.	
×		The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None.	
	In add	ition:	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 16th day of August, 2013.

United States Magistrate Judge